MATTHEW 25 FUND, INC

ANNUAL REPORT

December 31, 2006

Matthew 25 Fund, Inc. 607 West Avenue Jenkintown, PA 19046 1-888-M25-FUND

Fund Symbol: MXXVX Website: www.matthew25fund.com

This report is provided for the general information of Matthew 25 Fund shareholders. It is not authorized for distribution unless preceded or accompanied by an effective prospectus, which contains more complete information about the Fund. Please read it carefully before you invest.

Dear Matthew 25 Fund Shareholders,

Our Fund's rate of return for the year of 2006 was 3.79%. From its inception on 10/16/95 through 12/31/06 our Fund has gained 324.71%. This is a compounded annual growth rate of 13.76%. A \$10,000 investment at the inception of our Fund would have grown to \$42,471 in just over 11 years.

The stock market in 2006 was up slightly through April. In May through July it was volatile and traded negatively in each month but then became strong for the last 5 months of 2006. Our Matthew 25 Fund was positive until April and then turned negative from May through October. Our Fund did not rally until the final 2 months of 2006 and this strength has carried through the first month of this year. Time will tell, but I believe that the stock market is in a good position. This is because the earnings are strong, the valuations are still very good, and in August the Fed did not raise the Fed Fund Target Rate for the first time since June of 2004. It is no coincidence that the market improved in this very same month.

The Standard & Poor's 500 Index earnings for 2006 were \$87.34. These earnings have increased at a 17.59% annual compounded rate since the recession year of 2001, but more importantly these earnings were 56% higher than the previous peak of 2000. Standard & Poor projects higher earnings for 2007 of \$95.92. Based on the S&P 500's year-end price of \$1,418.30, its Forward PE Ratio at year-end was 14.79. Thanks to the growth of earnings, the S&P 500 is now priced in line with its historical average.

These factors alone would make the market attractive, but there is another phenomenon that bodes well for stocks. Mergers and acquisitions reached record levels in 2006 at \$3.8 trillion worldwide; around 39% of those deals were acquisitions of U.S companies or \$1.5 trillion. The mergers that I like to research are the deals done for cash. Most of these deals were transacted by Private Equity Funds (PEF) and were usually completed as a Leveraged Buyout (LBO). The shareholders of these purchased companies received cash, but a majority of this cash is generated by borrowings against the buyout companies' assets or cash flows. Market analyst Don Hays states that there were cash buyouts totaling \$400 billion in 2006. These deals are interesting because they are an excellent indicator of fair economic value of a business. When the stock market is not properly valuing a company then these PEF will take advantage of this discrepancy. From a practical standpoint, when a public company is bought for cash then much of this money tends to go back into the stock market and this helps raise the value of the remaining stocks. Money raised for Private Equity Funds to be used for LBO and Mezzanine deals was \$199 billion in 2005 through 2006 (Thompson Financial, Yahoo! Finance News, 1/16/07). This money may then be leveraged about 5 to 1 in order to be used for potentially \$1 trillion in takeovers. Without having precise figures it is safe to say that there is money remaining for additional buyouts plus new money will likely be raised in 2007.

If you are wondering why stocks would be selling below fair economic value in the market, then like it or not, you are a believer in the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH). I have discussed EMH in previous shareholders' letters but let me just say that I believe the stock market is competitive but not efficient. We still have undervaluation because we have been in the skepticism stage of the market cycle, with good fundamentals but fear and uncertainty pervading. After we have been in the optimism stage for a reasonable period then valuations will

be more consistent around fair economic value and PEF acquisitions will diminish. But what is fair economic value and how does it help our Fund's portfolio?

As a guideline, a multiple of 9 to 11 times EBITDA is approximately a fair economic value for a company while a multiple below 9 starts to represent an undervaluation. At 9 to 11 times EBITDA a buyer can finance the deal with solid rates of return if the business can maintain its cash flow. Some foundation information may be helpful for some of our readers. You need to know that EBITDA represents the gross cash flow of a company. This includes earnings before interest expense, taxes, preferred dividends, and non-cash expenses such as depreciation. The total cost for a company, which is sometimes called Enterprise Value (EP), is the market value of its common stock plus the total of all its debts, retirement obligations, preferred stocks, minority interests, less its working capital. Think of this as when you are buying a house. You are not just buying the previous owner's equity, but you are buying the owner's equity plus the mortgage for the total value of the house. This EP over the company's EBITDA gives you a multiple of EBITDA. A multiple of 10 times EBITDA is valuing a business at 10 times its gross cash flow, or if you invert the ratio this multiple provides a 10% pre-tax return on price.

If you are like me then it helps to see the numbers, so let me give you a hypothetical case to show how a deal works. There are multiple strategies that a PEF may implement in an LBO, but for this example I will use a strategy that is most similar to a pattern that a public company may use. Here is my hypothetical example:

Assume the following:

Company A is bought for \$1billion (b) or 10 x EBITDA

Structure

Equity = \$200million (m)

Debt = \$800m average interest rate @ 8%

EBITDA = \$100m with depreciation @ \$15m

Capital spend = \$ 20m Free cash flow= \$ 80m

Upon completion of the buyout, the after-tax cash flow on equity is 14.33%. What will happen in five years? Let us assume that the owners' strategy is to reinvest in the business and to pay down debt with the remaining after-tax free cash flow. Also assume that gross cash flow grows 3% a year from reinvesting in the business. Then at the end of year 5 the company's EBITDA would be \$116m. The debt would be down to \$715m. The after-tax cash flow on equity will then be 21.78%. If Company A would be sold in year 5 at the same valuation of 10 x EBITDA, then the sale of \$1.16b less the debt would leave \$445m for the owners, which would be a 17.35% compounded annual rate of return. This is a simple example, and it does not include reduction in costs, asset sales, or going public again at a higher valuation. The private equity buyouts work so well economically because they are able to use debt and the company's own cash flow to increase the return to the private equity owners.

Calculating EBITDA of a company is vital in mergers and acquisitions, but it is also an effective tool in the research of stocks. As a measure of value it helps you decide what to buy or not to buy when looking for new investments. This valuation helps you when choosing which stocks to add to and which stocks to sell within a portfolio. And during periods of LBO merger activity it could help you identify which stocks may possibly receive takeover offers. The

following list shows most of our holdings in our Matthew 25 Fund with their respective EBITDA multiples. The multiples are based on year end prices and in most cases estimates of full year EBITDA because the full year financials have not been issued at the time of this letter.

2006 Estimated

	2006 Estimated EBITDA	
Company TD Ameritrade	Multiple 11.4	Strategies for the investment Not a takeover candidate because of Toronto Dominion Bank's 40% ownership from the merger in 2005. It is a growing business that is very well run, generates high free cash flow and is very good about buying back stock.
ASV	7.3	Caterpillar Inc. already owns 23% of the stock, so CAT is the only potential buyer. Hopefully, ASV will remain independent for some time because this stock has very high growth potential and the company is buying back stock.
Berkshire Hathaway	8.1	Not a takeover candidate due to Warren Buffet's or the Gates Foundation's large holdings. Berkshire is a collection of unique businesses run by the best capitalist in America.
Black & Decker	7.1	Potential takeover company because it is inexpensive and has multiple strong brands. BDK bought back over 14% of its shares this year. It has modest long-term growth potential through product innovations and acquisitions.
Cabela's	7.7	This company is not a takeover candidate due to substantial ownership by founding family. Cabela's is an exceptional and unique retailer with a significant growth strategy.
El Paso	8.6	This company is the largest U.S. natural gas pipeline system and could be acquired. Recently, El Paso sold one of its pipelines at 11.4 x EBITDA. There are multiple ways that this company may realize its full value.
MBIA	9.6	Not a likely takeover candidate because of insurance requirements for a financial guarantor to be mono-line insurer. However, MBIA just announced a \$1 billion stock buyback which is around 10% of its market value.

2006 Estimated EBITDA

<u>Company</u> <u>Multiple</u> <u>Strategies for the investment</u>

Polaris 7.5 This company is a potential takeover candidate if its

price doesn't increase. It is an excellent

manufacturer and product developer, and bought back 14.6% of its common stock in 2006. Polaris

should be back on growth trajectory in 2008.

Western Union 11.2 WU is not a likely LBO at current valuation, but it

should be a solid long-term growth business.

Abington Bank, Advanta, Federal Agricultural Mortgage, and Willow Financial are not listed above because banks and credit companies are not typical LBO candidates since these companies already use a lot of debt. However, Abington, Advanta and Willow Financial are undervalued under their own metrics and could be potential friendly acquisitions by other financial institutions some time in the future. Federal Agricultural Mortgage is a government sponsored enterprise so it is not a takeover target. However, its stock is undervalued, its portfolio has grown, and the company has been steadily buying back its stock. Another stock that I don't use EBITDA for is St. Joe Co. This is because it is a value play not based on its cash flow but its 800,000 acres of land in northwest Florida. JOE is deeply undervalued. A takeover of the entire entity would be difficult due to its size and lack of cash flow, but a long-term liquidation in piecemeal should generate high returns. JOE is currently looking to sell its office buildings and if successful this could cover most of its long-term debt.

I hope this letter helps you to see the potential in this stock market. More importantly, it should reveal to you that most of the investments in our Matthew 25 Fund's portfolio are much undervalued. Many of our holdings are either currently growing or have growth potential over the next few years. Some of our investments have leaders who are providing greater long-term return potential by buying back stock in their companies. When you have growth in a business and its stock is undervalued, then the long-term return potential is greatly increased. I believe that our portfolio offers greater return potential than the market average. Please call me or write if you have any questions. Thank you for your loyalty and for allowing us to work for you.

Good fortune,

Except for any historical information, the matters discussed in this letter contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, including activities, events or developments that the Advisor expects, believes or anticipates will or may occur in the future. A number of factors could cause actual results to differ from those indicated in the forward-looking statements. Such statements are subject to a number of assumptions, risks and uncertainties. Readers are cautioned that such statements are not guarantees of future performance and actual results may differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements. The Advisor undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information or otherwise.

MATTHEW 25 FUND, INC. PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

includes broader market coverage than the Value Line Index. The Fund's returns include the reinvestment of all dividends, but do not reflect the deduction The graph below represents the changes in value for an initial \$10,000 investment in the Matthew 25 Fund from 1996 to 2006. These changes are then compared to a \$10,000 investment in the Value Line Index, which is an index comprised of 1,665 stocks, and the Russell 3000 Index, which is an index of taxes that a shareholder would pay on fund distributions or the redemption of fund shares. Past performance is not predictive of future performance. comprised of 3,000 stocks for the same period. The Russell 3000 Index is being used as a comparison because it is more widely recognized and Investment return and principal value will fluctuate, so that your shares, when redeemed, may be worth more or less than the original cost.

ded 106 177	.47 109		901/25/21
Yr. Ended 12/31/06 \$34,677	\$32,447 \$22,909		SZ.
Yr. Ended 12/31/05 \$33,410	\$28,056 \$19,797		SOLVEICY
Yr. Ended 12/31/04 \$31,798	\$26,287 \$18,655		AOI/SIG/
_	\$22,423 \$16,664		EOLEIC)
~ .	\$15,145 \$12,715		TOIVEITO
	\$18,271 \$16,205		LOVERCY
Yr. Ended 12/31/00 \$18,420	\$16,478 \$18,303		00/15/21
Yr. Ended Yr. Ended 12/31/98 12/31/99 12/31/00 \$17,586 \$17,776 \$18,420	\$15,028 \$19,778		
Yr. Ended 12/31/98 \$17,586	\$13,593 \$16,359		BOLVEICY
Yr. Ended <u>12/31/97</u> \$13,965	\$12,845 \$13,178	- Matthew 25 Fund - Value Line Index Russell 3000 Index	BONEICH
•	\$10,000 \$10,000	→ Matthew 25 Func - → Value Line Index Russell 3000 Ind	Tellslah
5 Fund	Index 00 Index		galvert'
Matthew 25 Fund	Value Line Index Russell 3000 Index	\$40,000 \$35,000 \$25,000 \$25,000	\$10,000

Annual Return 12/31/06 3.79% 15.65% 15.72%	Ten Year 12/31/96-12/31/06 13.24% 12.49% 8.64%
Annual Return 12/31/05 5.07% 6.73% 6.12%	Ten 12/31/96-13.2 13.2 12.4 8.6
Annual Return 12/31/04 20.05% 17.23% 11.95%	
Annual Return 12/31/03 32.12% 48.06% 31.06%	Five Year 12/31/01-12/31/06 11.21% 12.17% 7.17%
Annual Return 12/31/02 (1.67)% (17.11)% (21.54)%	Five 12/31/01- 11.2 12.1 7.1
Annual Return 12/31/01 10.69% 10.88% (11.46)%	
Annual Return 12/31/00 3.62% 9.65% (7.46)%	Three Year 12/31/03-12/31/06 9.40% 13.11% 11.19%
Annual Return 12/31/99 1.08% 10.56% 20.90%	Three 12/31/03 9.4 9.4 13.7 11.7
Annual Return 12/31/98 25.93% 5.82% 24.14%	
Annual Return 12/31/97 39.65% 28.45% 31.78%	One Year 12/31/05-12/31/06 3.79% 15.65% 15.72%
Annual Return 12/31/96 18.68% 19.78% 21.82%	One 12/31/05 3.7 15.
Matthew 25 Fund Value Line Index Russell 3000 Index	Matthew 25 Fund Value Line Index Russell 3000 Index

MATTHEW 25 FUND, INC. TOP TEN HOLDINGS & ASSET ALLOCATION DECEMBER 31, 2006

Top Ten Holdings

(% of Net Assets)	-
Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corp.Class A & Class C	17.68%
Polaris Industries, Inc.	10.73%
MBIA, Inc.	10.45%
Black & Decker, Inc.	9.43%
Advanta Corporation, Class A	8.54%
Cabelas, Inc.	6.97%
El Paso Corporation	6.20%
ASV Inc.	5.48%
Ameritrade Clearing, Inc.	5.21%
St. Joe Company	5.08%
	85.77%
Asset Allocation (% of Net Assets)	-
Federal & Federally Sponsored Credit Agency	17.68%
Misc. Transportation Equipment	10.73%
Surety Insurance	10.45%
Metalworking Machinery & Equipment	9.43%

MATTHEW 25 FUND, INC. EXPENSE EXAMPLE DECEMBER 31, 2006

As a shareholder of the Fund, you incur two types of costs: (1) direct costs, such as IRA fees and (2) indirect costs, including management fees and other Fund operating expenses. This example is intended to help you understand your ongoing costs (in dollars) of investing in the Fund and to compare these costs with the ongoing costs of investing in other mutual funds.

The example is based on an investment of \$1,000 invested at the beginning of the period and held for the entire six-month period of July 1, 2006 to December 31, 2006.

Actual Expenses

The first line of the table below provides information about actual account values and actual expenses. You may use the information in this line, together with the amount you invested, to estimate the expenses that you paid over the period. Simply divide your account value by \$1,000 (for example, an \$8,600 account value divided by \$1,000 = 8.6), then multiply the result by the number in the first line under the heading entitled "Expenses Paid During Period" to estimate the expenses you paid on your account during this period. IRAs with less than \$10,000 may be charged \$14 annually for IRA Custodian Fees at the discretion of the Fund's Management or Directors. This \$14 fee is not reflected in the table below.

Hypothetical Example for Comparison Purposes

The second line of the table below provides information about hypothetical account values and hypothetical expenses based on the Fund's actual expenses ratio and an assumed rate of return of 5% per year before expenses, which is not the Fund's actual return. The hypothetical account values and expenses may not be used to estimate the actual ending account balance or expenses you paid for the period. You may use this information to compare the ongoing costs of investing in the Fund and other funds. To do so, compare this 5% hypothetical example with the 5% hypothetical examples that appear in the shareholder reports of the other funds.

Please note that the expenses shown in the table are meant to highlight your ongoing costs only and do not reflect any direct costs, such as IRA fees. Therefore, the second line of the table is useful in comparing ongoing costs only, and will not help you determine the relative total costs of owning different funds. In addition, if IRA fees were included your costs would be higher.

			Expenses Paid
	Beginning	Ending	During Period*
	Account Value	Account Value	July 1, 2006 to
	July 1, 2006	December 31, 2006	December 31, 2006
Actual	\$1,000.00	\$1,062.90	\$5.98
Hypothetical			
(5% return before expenses)	\$1,000.00	\$1,019.41	\$5.85

^{*} Expenses are equal to the Fund's annualized expense ratio of 1.15%, multiplied by the average account value over the period, multiplied by 184/365 to reflect the one-half year period.

MATTHEW 25 FUND, INC. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS IN SECURITIES DECEMBER 31, 2006

	Number of Shares	Historical Cost	Value
COMMON STOCKS 97.61%			
BUSINESS SERVICES 2.51% Western Union Company	114,000 \$	1,887,538 \$	2,555,880
CONSTRUCTION MACHINERY & EQUIP 5.48% ASV Inc.*	342,500	5,245,220	5,572,475
FEDERAL & FEDERALLY SPONSORED CREDIT AGENCY 17.68%			
Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corp.Cl. C Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corp.Cl. A	622,100 59,000	14,065,515 1,034,106	16,877,573 1,112,740
	.,	15,099,621	17,990,313
FIRE, MARINE & CASUALTY INSURANCE 3.89% Berkshire Hathaway, Class A *	36	2,045,480	3,959,640
GAS PRODUCTION & DISTRIBUTION 6.20% El Paso Corporation	412,500	2,942,912	6,303,000
LAND SUBDIVIDERS & DEVELOPERS 5.08% St. Joe Company	96,500	4,313,587	5,169,505
METALWORKING MACHINERY & EQUIPMENT 9 Black & Decker, Inc.	.43% 120,000	7,221,497	9,596,400
MISC. SHOPPING GOODS STORE 6.97% Cabelas, Inc.*	294,000	5,191,221	7,094,220
MISC. TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT 10.73% Polaris Industries, Inc.	233,000	4,964,622	10,911,390
PERSONAL CREDIT INSTITUTION 8.54% Advanta Corporation, Class A	218,300	2,089,929	8,690,523

MATTHEW 25 FUND, INC. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS IN SECURITIES (CONTINUED) DECEMBER 31, 2006

	Number of Shares	Historical Cost	Value
RACING & TRACK OPERATIONS 0.40% International Speedway CI. B	7,950 \$	388,664 \$	405,450
RUBBER & PLASTICS FOOTWEAR 0.20% Nike, Inc.	2,000	161,840	198,060
SAVINGS INSTITUTION 4.84% Abington Community Bancorp Willow Financial Bancorp, Inc.	116,671 180,000	1,355,145 1,097,581 2,452,726	2,237,750 2,685,600 4,923,350
SECURITY BROKERS, DEALERS & FLOTATION Ameritrade Clearing, Inc.	5.21% 327,500	2,806,166	5,298,950
SURETY INSURANCE 10.45% MBIA, Inc.	145,500	6,071,024	10,630,230
TOTAL COMMON STOCKS		62,882,047	99,299,386
PREFERRED STOCKS 1.60% ELECTRIC UTILITIES 1.60% Pacific G&E Corp. 6% Preferred	61,000	835,770	1,629,310
SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS 0.85% Dreyfus Treasury Prime Fund Class B	863,933	863,933	863,933
TOTAL INVESTMENTS 100.06% Other Assets Less Liabilities (0.06)% NET ASSETS 100.00%	\$	6 <u>64,581,750</u> \$	101,792,629 (64,739) 101,727,890

^{*}Non-income producing security during the period.

MATTHEW 25 FUND, INC. STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES DECEMBER 31, 2006

ASSETS Investments in securities at value (cost \$64,581,750) Cash Receivables: Dividends & Interest Fund shares sold Other TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 101,792,629 6,526 64,546 18,900 194 101,882,795
LIABILITIES Payable to Advisor Payable for fund shares redeemed Payable for securities purchased Accrued expenses TOTAL LIABILITIES	87,149 44,307 14,881 8,568 154,905
NET ASSETS: (Equivalent to \$18.29 per share based on 5,563,377 shares of capital stock outstanding 100,000,000 shares authorized, \$0.01 par value)	\$ <u>101,727,890</u>
COMPOSITION OF NET ASSETS	
Shares of common stock Additional paid-in capital Net unrealized appreciation of investments Undistributed net investment income Undistributed realized gains	\$ 55,634 64,347,591 37,210,879 53,403 60,383
NET ASSETS	\$ 101,727,890

MATTHEW 25 FUND, INC. STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

INVESTMENT INCOME:		
Dividends	\$	2,587,285
Interest		28,169
Redemption Fees (Note 6)		16,075
Other income		29,369
	_	
TOTAL INVESTMENT INCOME	_	2,660,898
EXPENSES:		
Investment advisory fee (Note 2)		1,059,537
Office expense		22,496
Shareholder reporting		21,106
Directors' fees and expenses		19,163
Custodian fees		18,757
Registration and compliance		15,468
Professional fees		14,116
Insurance		13,605
Postage and printing		11,110
State and local taxes		9,430
Software		8,232
Bank fees		6,370
IRA expense		4,761
Telephone		3,118
TOTAL EXPENSES	_	1,227,269
TOTAL EXPENSES	-	1,221,209
NET INVESTMENT INCOME		1,433,629
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS) FROM INVESTMENTS (Note	6)	
Net realized gain from investments	-,	164,354
Net change in unrealized appreciation of investments		1,834,051
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) from investments	-	1,998,405
The state of the s	_	-,
NET INCREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS	\$_	3,432,034

MATTHEW 25 FUND, INC. STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

	Years ended			
		12/31/06	_	12/31/05
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN NET ASSETS FROM OPERATIONS:				
Net Investment income	\$	1,433,629	\$	321,662
Net realized gain from investments		164,354		971,100
Unrealized appreciation on investments		1,834,051	_	3,856,392
NET INCREASE IN NET ASSETS				
RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS		3,432,034		5,149,154
DISTRIBUTIONS TO SHAREHOLDERS		(1,484,197)		(1,292,763)
CAPITAL SHARE TRANSACTIONS (Note 4)		(9,163,224)		13,075,707
on the on the first of the to		(0,100,111)	-	
TOTAL INCREASE (DECREASE)		(7,215,387)		16,932,098
NET ASSETS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD		108,943,277	_	92,011,179
NET ASSETS, END OF PERIOD	\$	101,727,890	\$ _	108,943,277

MATTHEW 25 FUND, INC. FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS AND RELATED RATIOS / SUPPLEMENTAL DATA For a Share Outstanding Throughout the Period Ending:

	Years ended					
	12/31/06	12/31/05	12/31/04	12/31/03	12/31/02	
Net asset value, Beginning of period	\$17.88	\$17.22	\$14.72	\$11.68	\$11.97	
Income from investment operations Net investment income (1)	0.24	0.05	0.13	0.00	0.09	
Net gains (loss) on investments both realized and unrealized	0.44	0.82	2.82	3.74	(0.29)	
Total from investment operations	0.68	0.87	2.95	3.74	(0.20)	
Less distributionsReturn of capital distribution	(0.27) <u>0.00</u> (0.27)	(0.21) <u>0.00</u> (0.21)	(0.45) <u>0.00</u> (0.45)	(0.69) (0.01) (0.70)	(0.09) <u>0.00</u> (0.09)	
Net asset value, End of period	\$18.29	\$17.88	\$17.22	\$14.72	\$11.68	
Total return (2)	3.79%	5.07%	20.05%	32.12%	(1.67)%	
Net assets, end of period (000's omitted)	\$101,728	\$108,943	\$92,011	\$60,001	\$41,899	
Ratio of expenses, to average net assets	1.15%	1.17%	1.19%	1.23%	1.24%	
Ratio of net investment income, to average assets	1.35%	0.33%	1.00%	(0.01)%	0.85%	
Portfolio turnover rate	28.54%	19.48%	12.46%	23.52%	38.68%	

⁽¹⁾ Per share net investment income has been determined on the average number of shares outstanding during the period.

⁽²⁾ Total return assumes reinvestment of dividends.

MATTHEW 25 FUND, INC. NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2006

NOTE 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations

Matthew 25 Fund, Inc. ("the Fund") was incorporated on August 28, 1995 in Pennsylvania and commenced operations on October 16, 1995. The Fund is registered as an open-end, non-diversified management investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, and its shares are registered under the Securities Act of 1933. The following is a summary of significant accounting policies consistently followed by the Fund in the preparation of its financial statements. These policies are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Security Valuations

Equity securities are valued by using market quotations. Securities that are traded on any stock exchange or on the NASDAQ over-the-counter market are valued at the last quoted sale price. Lacking a last sale price, an equity security is generally valued at its last bid price. When market quotations are not readily available, or when the Advisor determines that the market quotation does not accurately reflect the current market value, or when restricted or illiquid securities are being valued, such securities may be valued as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors. The Board has adopted guidelines for good faith pricing, and has delegated to the Advisor the responsibility for determining fair value prices, subject to review by the Board of Directors.

Federal Income Taxes

The Fund's policy is to comply with the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code that are applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute all its taxable income to its shareholders. Therefore, no federal income tax provision is required.

Distributions to Shareholders

The Fund intends to distribute to its shareholders substantially all of its net investment income, if any, and net realized capital gains, if any, at year end.

Other

The Fund follows industry practice and records security transactions on the trade date. The specific identification method is used for determining gains or losses for financial statements and income tax purposes. Dividend income is recorded on the ex-dividend date and interest income is recorded on an accrual basis.

Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of increases and decreases in net assets from operations during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

MATTHEW 25 FUND, INC.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2006

NOTE 2 - Investment Advisory Agreement and Other Related Party Transactions
The Fund has an investment advisory agreement with The Matthew 25 Management Corporation,
(The Advisor) whereby The Advisor receives a fee of 1% per year on the net assets of the
Fund. All fees are computed on the average daily closing net asset value of the Fund and are
payable monthly. The Advisor has agreed to decrease the investment advisory fee or, if
necessary, to reimburse the Fund if and to the extent that the Fund's aggregate annual
operating expenses exceed 2.0% of the first \$10,000,000 and 1.5% of the next \$20,000,000.

The management fee for 2006, as computed pursuant to the investment advisory agreement, totaled \$1,059,537.

Mr. Mark Mulholland is the sole director and officer of The Advisor and is also the President of the Fund. In addition, Mr. Mulholland is a broker at Boenning & Scattergood Inc. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Fund paid brokerage commissions of \$182 to Boenning & Scattergood Inc. of which Mr. Mulholland received compensation totaling \$0. Boenning & Scattergood Inc. is not otherwise associated with Matthew 25 Fund, Inc. or The Advisor and is not responsible for any of the investment advice rendered to the Fund by The Advisor or Mr. Mulholland.

NOTE 3 - Investments

For the year ended December 31, 2006, purchases and sales of investment securities other than short-term investments aggregated \$30,171,566 and \$38,745,783 respectively. At December 31, 2006, the gross unrealized appreciation for all securities totaled \$37,210,879 and the gross unrealized depreciation for all securities totaled \$0 or a net unrealized appreciation of \$37,210,879. The aggregate cost of securities for federal income tax purposes at December 31,2006 was \$64,581,750, including short-term investments.

NOTE 4 - Capital Share Transactions

As of December 31, 2006 there were 100,000,000 shares of \$.01 per value capital stock authorized. The total par value and paid-in capital totaled \$64,403,225. Transactions in capital stock were as follows:

	Year E		Year Ended		
	December	31, 2006	December	31, 2005	
· ·	Shares	Amount	Shares Amou		
Shares sold	649,577 \$	11,607,765	1,630,710 \$	27,720,711	
Shares issued in					
reinvestment of dividends	79,531	1,466,562	69,676	1,256,260	
Shares redeemed	(1,260,162)	(22,237,551)	(948,747)	(15,901,264)	
Net Increase	(531,054) \$	(9,163,224)	751,639 \$	13,075,707	

NOTE 5 - Distributions to Shareholders

On December 28, 2006, a distribution of \$0.25 per share aggregating \$1,380,226 was paid to shareholders of record on this same date from net investment income.

On December 28, 2006, a distribution of \$0.02 per share aggregating \$103,971 was paid to shareholders of record on this same date from net long-term capital gains.

MATTHEW 25 FUND, INC. NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) DECEMBER 31, 2006

NOTE 6 - Redemption Fee

To discourage short-term trades by investors, and to compensate the Fund for costs that may be incurred by such trades, the Fund will impose a redemption fee of 2% of the total redemption amount (calculated at market value) if shares are held for 365 days or less. The redemption fee does not apply to shares purchased through reinvested distributions. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the Fund received \$16,075 in redemption fees.

NOTE 7 - Federal Income Taxes

Income and long-term capital gain distributions are determined in accordance with Federal income tax regulations, which may differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. As of December 31, 2006, the components of distributable earnings on a tax basis were as follows:

Undistributed ordinary income	\$ 53,403
Undistributed long-term capital gain	\$ 60,383
Unrealized appreciation	\$ 37,210,879

The tax character of distributions paid during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 are as follows:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Ordinary income	\$ 1,380,226	\$ 321,662
Long-term capital gain	\$ 103,971	\$ 971,100

NOTE 8 - New Accounting Pronouncement

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 – Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes that requires the tax effects of certain tax positions to be recognized. These tax positions must meet a "more likely than not" standard that based on their technical merits, have a more than 50 percent likelihood of being sustained upon examination. FASB Interpretation No. 48 is effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2006. At adoption, the financial statements must be adjusted to reflect only those tax positions that are more likely than not of being sustained. Management of the Fund is currently evaluating the impact that FASB Interpretation No. 48 will have on the Fund's financial statements.

NOTE 9 - Lease Commitments

The Fund leases office space under a lease that expires February of 2007. Rent expense was \$12,106 for the year ended December 31, 2006. Minimum lease payments over the course of the term of the lease are as follows:

2007 \$ 2,064

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

PROXY VOTING GUIDELINES

Matthew 25 Management Corp., the Fund's Advisor, is responsible for exercising the voting rights associated with the securities held by the Fund. A description of the policies and procedures used by the Advisor in fulfilling this responsibility is available without charge, upon request, by calling 1-888-M25-FUND.

QUARTERLY FILING OF PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS

The Fund files its complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The Fund's Forms N-Q are available on the SEC's website at http://www.sec.gov. The Fund's Forms N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington DC. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling 1-800-SEC-0330.

APPROVAL OF INVESTMENT ADVISORY AGREEMENT

At an in-person meeting held on October 19, 2006, the Board of Directors, including a majority of Directors that are not "interested" persons of the Fund (as the term is defined in the 1940 Act), re-approved the Advisory Agreement based upon its review of the qualitative and quantitative information provided by the Investment Advisor. The Directors considered, among other things, the following information regarding the Investment Advisor.

NATURE, EXTENT AND QUALITY OF SERVICES PROVIDED BY THE INVESTMENT ADVISOR
The Directors reviewed the nature, quality and scope of current and anticipated services provided by the
Investment Advisor under the Advisory Agreement. This includes portfolio management, supervision of Fund
operations and compliance and regulatory matters.

INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE

The Directors reviewed the performance of the Fund, as compared to other mutual funds and market benchmarks. This review focused on the long-term performance of the Fund.

COST OF SERVICES TO THE FUND AND PROFITABILITY OF ADVISOR

The Directors considered the Fund's management fee and total expense ratio relative to industry averages. The Directors determined that the Advisor is operating profitably, is viable and should remain as an ongoing entity.

ECONOMIES OF SCALE

The Directors considered information regarding economies of scale with respect to the management of the Fund. The Directors noted that as the Fund has grown, the expense ratio has been decreasing.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the above review and discussions, the Directors concluded that it is in the best interest of the Fund and its shareholders to approve the Advisory Agreement.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (Continued)

BOARD OF DIRECTORS INFORMATION Matthew 25 Fund

December 31, 2006

The business and affairs of the Fund are managed under the direction of the Fund's Board of Directors. Information pertaining to the Directors of the Fund are set forth below. The Fund's Statement of Additional Information includes additional information about the Fund's Directors, and is available without charge, by calling 1-888-M25-FUND. Each Director may be contacted by writing to the Director c/o Matthew 25 Fund, 607 West Avenue Jenkintown, PA 19046

INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS Name and Age Positio	CTORS Position with Fund	Term of Office and Length of Time Served	Principle Occupation During Last Five Years	Other Directorships
Philip J. Cinelli, D.O. Age 46	Director	1 year with election held annually He has been a Director since 1996	Physician in Family Practice	Not a director for any other public companies
Samuel B. Clement Age 48	Director	1 year with election held annually He has been a Director since 1996	Stockbroker with Securities of America	Not a director for any other public companies
Linda Guendelsberger Age 47	Director Secretary of Fund	1 year with election held annually She has been a Director since 1996	CPA and Shareholder with Fishbein & Co.	Not a director for any other public companies
Scott Satell Age 44	Director	1 year with election held annually He has been a Director since 1996	Manufacturer's Representative with BPI Ltd.	Not a director for any other public companies
INTERESTED DIRECTORS Steven D. Buck, Esq. Direc Age 46	TORS Director	1 year with election held annually He has been a Director since 1996	Attorney and Shareholder with Stevens & Lee	Not a director for any other public companies
Mark Mulholland Age 47	Director President of Fund	1 year with election held annually He has been a Director since 1996	President of Matthew 25 Fund President of Matthew 25 Management Corp. Stockbroker with Boenning & Scattergood	Not a director for any other public companies

is an interested person insofar as he is President and owner of the Fund's Investment Adviser. Mr. Buck is not an independent director as long as he or his law firm Mr. Buck and Mr. Mulholland are Directors of the Fund and are considered "interested persons" as defined by the Investment Company Act of 1940. Mr. Mulholland provides legal advice to the Fund for compensation. Additionally, Mr. Buck's sister Lesley Buck is the Chief Compliance Officer of Matthew 25 Fund.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors Matthew 25 Fund, Inc. Jenkintown, Pennsylvania

We have audited the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities of Matthew 25 Fund, Inc. (the "Fund"), including the schedule of investments, as of December 31, 2006, and the related statement of operations for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Fund's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of December 31, 2006, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Matthew 25 Fund, Inc. as of December 31, 2006, the results of its operations for the year then ended, the changes in its net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Abington, Pennsylvania February 15, 2007 Sanville & Company